

11Jan62

Approved For Release 2004/03/25 : CIA-RDP64B00346R000400040038-7

*Eugene*  
Dear Senator McCarthy:

During our very pleasant visit on Tuesday, the 9th, you raised the question of an incident that arose in 1956 with certain scientists at the California Institute of Technology. I briefly explained my position and so that you may be fully informed, yesterday I arranged for the delivery to you of a copy of the proceedings before the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy held in connection with my confirmation hearings in July 1958.

I can add nothing to my statements made at that time, although I would be happy to again review this matter with you or any one else who so desires.

With respect to the second question you asked, that of a contract between the United States Air Force and the Kaiser-Frazer Company which was consummated when I was Under Secretary of the Air Force, 1950 and 1951, I am attaching a copy of public hearings before the Preparedness Subcommittee #1 of the Committee on Armed Services, United States Senate. These hearings were held for several days starting June 2nd, 1953 as a result of a personal request by me to the late Senator Styles Bridges.

*WPA* *HC*  
*WPA* *HC*  
*EP* *HC*  
*IV* *HC*  
*LC* *HC*  
*DP* *HC*

Here again there is little, if anything, I can add to my sworn testimony. Quite naturally I would be glad to discuss this subject with you or others should you desire.

With reference to this morning's article by Mr. Drew Pearson in which he alleges that my shipbuilding operations were inordinately profitable, this matter too was reviewed by a Committee of the Congress in 1946. Therefore I am attaching a transcript of the public hearing of the House Merchant Marine and Fisheries Committee held for the purpose of investigating Maritime Commissions' merchant shipbuilding program. I have marked the section relating to my personal testimony. Although the subject is very old ~~now~~ inasmuch as ship-building operations under my direction were discontinued in 1945 and records are not available to me, my testimony given under oath was complete and to my knowledge accurate.

*After you will readily note from the testimony that*  
Our shipbuilding operations were adequately financed through subscribed stock, subordinated stock holders' loans and bank loans for which the stockholders were responsible. The earned fees were substantial because of the vast volume, however non-reimbursable expenses, CONTRACT RE- negotiation and corporate income taxes reduced the profit to a very modest figure when one considers the scope of the operation. As the testimony indicates the entire undertaking was

*Marlene*  
handled in exact accordance with United States Merchant Commission policy and contractual procedures.

In retrospect I feel the building of this vast yard (the second largest in the United States), the assembly and training of an organization in excess of 40,000 men and women and the production of some 475 ships of various types in record time was really one of the satisfying accomplishments of my life. Dead weight tonnage produced from that yard was approximately 50 per cent of the total American flag tonnage in active operation today.

With respect to the Kaiser contract for transportation of bauxite for the Kaiser Aluminum Company referred to in the Atomic Energy Commission confirmation hearings and also in Mr. Drew Pearson's article, the circumstances were these: Some six years after I left the Air Force, Kaiser Aluminum called upon the shipping industry to submit competitive bids for this engagement. My Company and a number of other major shipping interests submitted proposals, and the proposal submitted by my Company proved to be most attractive to the Aluminum Company. At that time I had no joint interests with Kaiser, I held no stock in any Company of theirs, and they held none in any Company of mine. The executives of Kaiser Aluminum were most meticulous in determining that this undertaking was made with the shipping company which could best serve the

interests of their stockholders and that no conflict of interest was involved, and the same considerations were foremost in my mind.

Most sincerely,

John A. McCone  
Director

Most Sincerely,  
John A. McCone

Most Sincerely,  
John A. McCone  
Director

STAT

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM  
Approved For Release 2004/03/25 : CIA-RDP64B00346R000100040038-7  
UNCLASSIFIED

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS
1				
2	DCI			
3				
4				
5				
6				
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE

Remarks:

Excellent letter.

Noted a couple of spots  
in pencil which should be  
checked with Mr. Malone.

LOK

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

Approved For Release 2004/03/25 : CIA-RDP64B00346R000100040038-7  
UNCLASSIFIED